Original Article

Numerical Solution of Korteweg-De Vries Equation by Quintic B-Spline Differential Quadrature Method

Anisha1 , Rajni Rohila2

1Research Scholar, Department of Applied Sciences, The Northcap University, Gurugram, 2 Assistant Professor, Department of Applied Sciences, The Northcap University, Gurugram

Abstract

In this manuscript, we have used quintic B-spline functions to get the numerical solutions of the Korteweg-De Vries (KdV) equation, which is a nonlinear equation. The functions and their derivatives have been approximated using the quintic B-spline function. By using the Thomas algorithm, we obtained the weighting coefficients of the differential quadrature method, and the SSP-RK43 scheme has been used to solve differential equations. The test problem has been solved numerically to demonstrate the effectiveness and accuracy of the method. Numerical solutions have been presented in tables and illustrated graphically.

Keywords: Korteweg-De Vries equation, Quintic B-spline function, Shu's recurrence, Thomas algorithm, SSP-RK43 scheme

Introduction

Consider the Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation

$$
V_t + \varepsilon V V_z + \mu V_{zzz} = 0 \qquad (1.1)
$$

here and are real constants. The KdV equation was introduced by Korteweg and de Vries in $1895¹$, which is a nonlinear partial differential equation. This equation was used to describe many physical phenomena such as shallow water waves², beam propagation³, bubble-liquid mixtures⁴, ion-acoustic waves⁵, fluid mechanics⁶, and other areas.

The KdV equation has become a very popular research topic due to its many applications and occurrences in the real world. To obtain the solutions to the KdV equation, many researchers have used various techniques. The numerical solutions of the KdV equation were discussed by Muhammad and Dambaru⁷ using a modified Bernstein

Corresponding Author: Rajni Rohila Assistant Professor, Department of Applied Sciences, The Northcap University, Gurugram e-mail: rajnirohila@ncuindia.edu

polynomial scheme. Abbas and Iqbal⁸ proposed the cubic B-spline method for the numerical solutions of the KdV equation. In order to solve the KdV equation, Dereli and Dag⁹ presented the radial basis function. Dag ¹⁰ studied the KdV equation by using the Taylor–Galerkin finite element method. The Least-squares method was used by Jacques and Arnaud 11 to find the numerical solutions of the KdV equation. Gamze and Nurcan¹² investigated the numerical solutions of the KdV equation using the Iterative splitting method. Hao 13 studied the KdV equation by using the Galerkin method. The variational iteration method was used by Mustafa¹⁴ to solve the KdV equation. Kong 15 solved the KdV equation using a hybrid numerical scheme. Ozis and Ozer 16 explored the numerical solutions of the KdV equation using the Iterative scheme. The cosine expansion-based differential quadrature method was proposed by Saka¹⁷ to study the KdV equation. Seadawy¹⁸ investigated the KdV equation by using the variational approximation method. Shen¹⁹ solved the KdV equation by the meshless method. A modified tanh–coth method was proposed by Wazzan²⁰ to study the KdV equation. Zhang and Ping 21 used the implicit sixth-order compact finite difference scheme for the numerical solutions of the

KdV equation. Ozer and Kutluay²² solved the equation by using the analytical-numerical method. Mishra²³ proposed a new quadrature method to examine the behaviour of the KdV equation.

Spline functions are widely utilized to solve initial and boundary value problems. The differential quadrature method was first introduced by Bellman²⁴ for solving partial differential equations. The quintic B-spline method was used by Mittal and Arora²⁵ for the numerical solutions of the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation. Zaki²⁶ used this method to solve the Korteweg de–Vries Burgers' equation. Dag and korkmaz²⁷ solved the advection-diffusion equations by quartic and quintic B-splines scheme. Saka²⁸ solved the regularized long wave equation by a quintic B-spline technique. Mittal and Dahiya²⁹ used this method for solving Fisher-Kolmogorov equations. The KdVB equation was solved by Bashan 30 using the quintic B-splines method.

The main purpose of this article is to find the numerical solutions to the kdv equation. The five-banded Thomas algorithm has been used to find the weighting coefficients. The derivative of an unknown function is expanded to obtain a system of ordinary differential equations. The system of ordinary differential equations has been solved using the SSP-RK43 scheme. This paper is divided into the following sections: In Section 2, the Quintic B-spline functions have been reproduced. The values of derivatives at the nodes have been obtained in this section. We have also explained the implementation of the method to the KdV equation in this section. In Section 3, the method has been applied to the numerical problem. The findings of the paper have been summarized in Section 4.

Quintic B-spline function

The domain $c \le z \le d$ is discretized into a mesh of uniform length $d = z_{j+1} - z_j$, by the nodes z_j where $j = 0, 1$, 2, ..., M such that $c = z_1 < z_2 <$, ..., $z_{M-1} < z_M = d$. Let $S_n(z)$ be the quintic B-spline function with the nodes at points z_j . The quintic B-spline basis function at nodes, given by 31

here $n = -1, 0, 1, ..., M + 1, M + 2$ and $\{S_{-1}, S_0, ..., S_{M+2}\}\$ forms a basis over the region $c \le z \le d$.

Each quintic B-spline encloses six nodes, so that total of six quintic B-spline encloses one node. The nonzero values of and the first four derivatives at given node points are summarized in Table 1. With respect to the variable , the approximation to derivatives of is

$$
V_z(z_j, t) = \sum_{k=1}^{M} P_{jk}^{(1)} V(z_k), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, M \quad (2.2)
$$

here denotes the first order partial derivatives weighting coefficients with respect to z. Shu's recurrence formula³² is used to determine the higher order derivatives.

$$
P_{jk}^{(w)} = w[P_{jk}^{(1)}P_{jj}^{(w-1)} - \frac{P_{jk}^{(w-1)}}{z_j - z_k}], \quad \text{for} \quad j \neq k \quad (2.3)
$$

$$
j, k = 1, 2, ..., M; \quad w = 2, 3, ..., M - 1
$$

$$
P_{jj}^{(w)} = -\sum_{k=1, k \neq j}^{m} P_{jk}^{(w)}, \quad \text{for} \quad j = k \quad (2.4)
$$

The partial derivatives weighting coefficients of order $(w - 1)$ and (w) in the direction of the z-axis are indicated here by the $P_{jk}^{(w-1)}$ and $P_{jk}^{(w)}$. Substitution of each quintic B-spline function into the differential quadrature method equation (2.2) for a fixed gives

$$
\frac{\partial S_n(z_j)}{\partial z} = \sum_{k=n-2}^{n+2} P_{jk}^{(1)} S_n(z_k),
$$
\n
$$
n = -1, 0, ..., M + 2 \text{ and } j = 1, 2, ..., M
$$
\n(2.5)

z	z_{n-3}	z_{n-2}	z_{n-1}	z_n	z_{n+1}	z_{n+2}	z_{n+3}
$S_n(z)$			26	66	26		
$S'_n(z)$		5/d	50/d	0	$-50/d$	5/d	
$S_n''(z)$		$20/d^2$	$40/d^2$	$-120/d^2$	$40/d^2$	$20/d^2$	0
$S_n'''(z)$		$60/d^3$	$-120/d^3$	0	$120/d^3$	$-60/d^{3}$	0
$S_n'''(z)$		$120/d^4$	$-480/d^4$	$720/d^4$	$-480/d^4$	$120/d^4$	0

Table 1: and its derivatives on nodes.

Now, we can rewrite the linear system (2.5) in the matrix notation for any z_j in the interval $[c, d]$ as given below:

$$
\begin{bmatrix}\nS_{-1,-3} & S_{-1,-2} & S_{-1,-1} & S_{-1,0} & S_{-1,1} \\
S_{0,-2} & S_{0,-1} & S_{0,0} & S_{0,1} & S_{0,2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
S_{M+1,M-1} & S_{M+1,M} & S_{M+1,M+1} & S_{M+1,M+2} & S_{M+1,M+3} \\
S_{M+2,M} & S_{M+2,M+1} & S_{M+2,M+2} & S_{M+2,M+3} & S_{M+2,M+4}\n\end{bmatrix}\times C_1 = \psi_1
$$

here $S_{j,k}$ denotes $S_j(z_k)$, $C_1 = [P_{j,-3}, P_{j,-2}, \dots, P_{j,M+3}, P_{j,M+4}]^T$ and $\psi_1 = [\frac{\partial S_{-1}(z_j)}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial S_0(z_j)}{\partial z}, \dots, \frac{\partial S_{M+1}(z_j)}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial S_{M+2}(z_j)}{\partial z}]^T$

There are N+8 unknowns and N+4 equations in the linear equation system. The number of equations and unknowns is equalized by adding four more equations to the system:

$$
\frac{\partial^2 S_{-1}(z_j)}{\partial z^2} = \sum_{k=-3}^{1} P_{jk}^{(1)} S_{-1}(z_k),
$$

$$
\frac{\partial^2 S_0(z_j)}{\partial z^2} = \sum_{k=-2}^{2} P_{jk}^{(1)} S_0(z_k),
$$

$$
\frac{\partial^2 S_{M+1}(z_j)}{\partial z^2} = \sum_{k=M-1}^{M+3} P_{jk}^{(1)} S_{M+1}(z_k),
$$

$$
\frac{\partial^2 S_{M+2}(z_j)}{\partial z^2} = \sum_{k=M}^{M+4} P_{jk}^{(1)} S_{M+2}(z_k),
$$

Finally, the number of unknowns and equations are equalized and in the form of $R_1 \times C_1 = \psi_2$, here

$$
R_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} S_{-1,-3} & S_{-1,-2} & S_{-1,-1} & S_{-1,0} & S_{-1,1} \\ S'_{-1,-3} & S'_{-1,-2} & S'_{-1,-1} & S'_{-1,0} & S'_{-1,1} \\ S_{0,-2} & S_{0,-1} & S_{0,0} & S_{0,1} & S_{0,2} \\ S'_{0,-2} & S'_{0,-1} & S'_{0,0} & S'_{0,1} & S'_{0,2} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ S_{M+1,M-1} & S_{M+1,M} & S_{M+1,M+1} & S_{M+1,M+2} & S_{M+1,M+3} \\ S'_{M+1,M-1} & S'_{M+1,M} & S'_{M+1,M+1} & S'_{M+1,M+2} & S'_{M+1,M+3} \\ S_{M+2,M} & S_{M+2,M+1} & S_{M+2,M+2} & S_{M+2,M+3} & S_{M+2,M+4} \\ S'_{M+2,M} & S'_{M+2,M+1} & S'_{M+2,M+2} & S'_{M+2,M+3} & S'_{M+2,M+4} \\ C_{1} = [P_{j,-3}^{(1)}, P_{j,-2}^{(1)}, \dots, P_{j,M+3}^{(1)}, P_{j,M+4}^{(1)}]^T \\ \psi_{2} = \left[\frac{\partial S_{-1}(z_j)}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial^2 S_{-1}(z_j)}{\partial z^2}, \frac{\partial S_{0}(z_j)}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial^2 S_{0}(z_j)}{\partial z}, \dots, \frac{\partial S_{M+1}(z_j)}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial^2 S_{M+1}(z_j)}{\partial z^2}, \frac{\partial^2 S_{M+2}(z_j)}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial^2 S_{M+2}(z_j)}{\partial z^2}\right]^{T}
$$

We eliminated $P_i^{(1)}(x)$, $P_i^{(1)}(x)$, $P_i^{(1)}(x)$ and used the derivatives and values of the quintic B-spline at the nodes to obtain a 5-band matrix of the form $R_2 \times C_2 = \psi_3$, which is a system of linear equations. here

$$
R_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 37 & 82 & 21 \\ 8 & 33 & 18 & 1 \\ 1 & 26 & 66 & 26 & 1 \\ & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ & & 1 & 26 & 66 & 26 & 1 \\ & & & 1 & 26 & 66 & 26 & 1 \\ & & & & 1 & 18 & 33 & 8 \\ & & & & & 21 & 82 & 37 \end{bmatrix}
$$

 $\psi_3 = [\lambda_{-1}, \lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_{M+1}, \lambda_{M+2}]$, here

$$
\lambda_{-1}(z_j) = \frac{1}{30} \left[-5S_{-1}^{(1)}(z_j) + dS_{-1}^{(2)}(z_j) + 40S_0^{(1)}(z_j) + 8dS_0^{(2)}(z_j) \right],
$$

\n
$$
\lambda_0(z_j) = \frac{1}{10} \left[5S_0^{(1)}(z_j) - dS_0^{(2)}(z_j) \right],
$$

\n
$$
\lambda_k(z_j) = S_k^{(1)}(z_j), \text{ for } k = 2, 3, ..., M - 1, M,
$$

\n
$$
\lambda_{M+1}(z_j) = \frac{1}{10} \left[5S_{M+1}^{(1)}(z_j) + dS_{M+1}^{(2)}(z_j) \right],
$$

\n
$$
\lambda_{M+2}(z_j) = \frac{1}{30} \left[40S_{M+1}^{(1)}(z_j) - 8dS_{M+1}^{(2)}(z_j) - 5S_{M+2}^{(1)}(z_j) - dS_{M+2}^{(2)}(z_j) \right],
$$

The penta-diagonal Thomas algorithm is used to solve the linear system $R_2 \times C_2 = \psi_3$. We obtain the approximate partial derivatives of the first order by solving and putting the values of $P_{i-1}^{(1)}, P_{i\,0}^{(1)}, ..., P_{i\,M+1}^{(1)}, P_{i\,M+2}^{(1)}$ in equation (2.2). For the approximation of higher order partial derivatives and to determine $P_{ik}^{(2)}$, $P_{ik}^{(3)}$, $P_{ik}^{(4)}$, we used Shu's recurrence formula.

By substituting the derivatives approximate values with respect to z in equation (1.1), we yield the following system:

$$
\frac{dV(z_j,t)}{dt} = -\varepsilon V \sum_{k=1}^{M} P_{jk}^{(1)} V_k(z_k,t) - \mu \sum_{k=1}^{M} P_{jk}^{(3)} V_k(z_k,t). \tag{2.6}
$$

This system of ordinary differential equations, which provides numerical solutions at different time levels, is solved by using the SSP-RK43 scheme, which is a time stepping and stability preserving method.

Result and Discussion

The accuracy of the proposed method has been demonstrated by applying it to a single soliton equation. We have computed Maximum absolute error norm as follows:

$$
L_{\infty} = || V^{exact} - V_n ||_{\infty} \approx max | V_k^{exact} - (V_n)_k
$$

Consider the KdV equation with the exact solution stated as follows:

$$
V(z,t) = 3Msech2(Pz - Qt + L),
$$
\n(3.1)

where 3M and εM indicate amplitude and velocity respectively. We have taken $P = \frac{1}{2} (\varepsilon M/\mu)^{1/2}$ and $Q = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon M (\varepsilon M / \mu)^{1/2}$. The initial condition is obtained from the exact solution for the numerical solution of soliton at time as

$$
V(z,0) = 3Msech2(Pz + L),
$$
\n(3.2)

and the boundary conditions are defined as follows:

 $V(0,t) = V(2,t) = 0$ for $t \ge 0$.

For the numerical solution, we use $\varepsilon = 1$, $\mu = 0.000484$, $M = 0.3$, and $L = -6$. The error norm has been calculated at various time levels and is shown in Table 2. The numerical results at various time levels with various values of z have been displayed in Table 3 and represented graphically in Figures 1-3 at $t = 0.5, 1.5$, and 2.5. We can see that as time increases the single soliton moves towards the right. We found that the quintic B-spline differential quadrature method is giving better results. The ability to retain their original sizes and forms is one of the characteristics of soliton waves.

Table 2: Maximum absolute errors at various time levels

	L_{∞}
0.5	4.672×10^{-4}
1.0	1.363×10^{-4}
1.5	1.882×10^{-3}
2.0	2.821×10^{-3}
25	2.311×10^{-3}

z	$t = 0.5$	$t=1$	$t = 1.5$	$t=2$	$t = 2.5$
0.2	1.674×10^{-5}	2.017×10^{-5}	2.711×10^{-5}	2.815×10^{-5}	2.738×10^{-5}
0.4	6.748 \times 10 ⁻⁵	2.615×10^{-5}	2.064×10^{-5}	1.871×10^{-5}	1.761×10^{-5}
0.6	5.471×10^{-4}	1.702×10^{-4}	1.557×10^{-5}	8.637×10^{-6}	8.734 \times 10 ⁻⁶
0.8	8.935 \times 10 ⁻⁵	6.669×10^{-4}	7.018 \times 10 ⁻⁴	3.972×10^{-5}	3.152×10^{-6}
1.0	9.808 \times 10 ⁻⁸	4.878×10^{-5}	2.092×10^{-3}	2.102×10^{-3}	6.661×10^{-4}
1.2	3.589×10^{-8}	8.289 \times 10 ⁻⁸	2.069×10^{-5}	1.301×10^{-3}	1.924×10^{-3}
1.4	3.087×10^{-7}	9.376 \times 10 ⁻⁸	6.445 \times 10 ⁻⁸	7.891 \times 10 ⁻⁶	3.435×10^{-3}
1.6	2.193×10^{-9}	1.341×10^{-8}	6.563×10^{-8}	3.119×10^{-8}	2.619×10^{-5}
1.8	2.475×10^{-7}	1.541×10^{-8}	5.053 \times 10 ⁻⁹	7.571×10^{-9}	1.905×10^{-7}

Table 3: Numerical solutions of single soliton at various time levels

Figure 1. Simulation of single soliton at time t = 0.5

Figure 2. Simulation of single soliton at time t = 1.5

Figure 3. Simulation of single soliton at time t = 2.5

Ethical Clearance: All research has been conducted with an ethic of respect for cultures, communities, the individual/person, and independent knowledge.

Source of Funding: There is no source of funding for this research work.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that they do not have any conflict of interest in the current research work.

References

- **1.** Korteweg DJ, De Vries G. XLI. On the change of form of long waves advancing in a rectangular canal, and on a new type of long stationary waves. The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science. 1895 May 1;39(240):422-43.
- **2.** Johnson RS. Shallow water waves on a viscous fluid the undular bore. The Physics of Fluids. 1972 Oct 1;15(10):1693-9.
- **3.** Rabinovich MI, Trubetskov DI. Introduction to the Theory of Oscillations and Waves.
- **4.** Van Wijngaarden L. On the equations of motion for mixtures of liquid and gas bubbles. Journal of fluid mechanics. 1968 Sep;33(3):465-74.
- **5.** Washimi H, Taniuti T. Propagation of ion-acoustic solitary waves of small amplitude. Physical Review Letters. 1966 Nov 7;17(19):996.
- **6.** Kawahara T. Oscillatory solitary waves in dispersive media. Journal of the physical society of Japan. 1972 Jan;33(1):260-4.
- **7.** Bhatta DD, Bhatti MI. Numerical solution of KdV equation using modified Bernstein polynomials.

Applied Mathematics and Computation. 2006 Mar 15;174(2):1255-68.

- **8.** Abbas M, Iqbal MK, Zafar B, Zin SB. New cubic b-spline approximations for solving non-linear third-order korteweg-de vries equation. Indian J. Sci. Technol. 2019 Feb;12(15):1-9.
- **9.** Dağ İ, Dereli Y. Numerical solutions of KdV equation using radial basis functions. Applied Mathematical Modelling. 2008 Apr 1;32(4):535-46.
- **10.** Canıvar A, Sari M, Dag I. A Taylor–Galerkin finite element method for the KdV equation using cubic B-splines. Physica B: Condensed Matter. 2010 Aug 15;405(16):3376-83.
- **11.** Debussche A, Printems J. Numerical simulation of the stochastic Korteweg–de Vries equation. Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena. 1999 Oct 20;134(2):200-26.
- **12.** Gücüyenen N, Tanoğlu G. On the numerical solution of Korteweg–de Vries equation by the iterative splitting Method. Applied Mathematics and Computation. 2011 Oct 1;218(3):777-82.
- **13.** Hao SY, Xie SS, Yi SC. The Galerkin method for the KdV equation using a new basis of smooth piecewise cubic polynomials. Applied Mathematics and Computation. 2012 May 1;218(17):8659-71.
- **14.** Inc M. Numerical simulation of KdV and mKdV equations with initial conditions by the variational iteration method. Chaos, Solitons & Fractals. 2007 Nov 1;34(4):1075-81.
- **15.** Kong D, Xu Y, Zheng Z. A hybrid numerical method for the KdV equation by finite difference and sinc collocation method. Applied Mathematics and Computation. 2019 Aug 15;355:61-72.
- **16.** Öziş T, Özer S. A simple similarity-transformationiterative scheme applied to Korteweg–de Vries equation. Applied mathematics and computation. 2006 Feb 1;173(1):19-32.
- **17.** Saka B. Cosine expansion-based differential quadrature method for numerical solution of the KdV equation. Chaos, Solitons & Fractals. 2009 Jun 15;40(5):2181-90.
- **18.** Seadawy AR. New exact solutions for the KdV equation with higher order nonlinearity by using the variational method. Computers & Mathematics with Applications. 2011 Nov 1;62(10):3741-55.
- **19.** Shen Q. A meshless method of lines for the numerical solution of KdV equation using radial basis functions. Engineering Analysis with Boundary Elements. 2009 Oct 1;33(10):1171-80.
- **20.** Wazzan L. A modified tanh–coth method for solving the KdV and the KdV–Burgers' equations. Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation. 2009 Feb 1;14(2):443-50.
- **21.** Zhang X, Zhang P. A reduced high-order compact finite difference scheme based on proper orthogonal decomposition technique for KdV equation. Applied Mathematics and Computation. 2018 Dec 15;339:535- 45.
- **22.** Özer S, Kutluay S. An analytical–numerical method for solving the Korteweg–de Vries equation. Applied Mathematics and Computation. 2005 May 25;164(3):789-97.
- **23.** Mishra S, Arora G, Emadifar H, Sahoo SK, Ghanizadeh A. Differential quadrature method to examine the dynamical behavior of soliton solutions to the kortewegde vries equation. Advances in Mathematical Physics. 2022 Jul 9;2022.
- **24.** Bellman R, Kashef BG, Casti J. Differential quadrature: a technique for the rapid solution of nonlinear partial differential equations. Journal of computational physics. 1972 Aug 1;10(1):40-52.
- **25.** Mittal RC, Arora G. Quintic B-spline collocation method for numerical solution of the Kuramoto–Sivashinsky equation. Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation. 2010 Oct 1;15(10):2798-808.
- **26.** Zaki SI. A quintic B-spline finite elements scheme for the KdVB equation. Computer methods in applied mechanics and engineering. 2000 Jul 21;188(1-3):121- 34.
- **27.** Korkmaz A, Dağ I. Quartic and quintic B-spline methods for advection–diffusion equation. Applied Mathematics and Computation. 2016 Feb 1;274:208-19.
- **28.** Saka B, Dağ İ, Irk D. Quintic B-spline collocation method for numerical solution of the RLW equation. The ANZIAM Journal. 2008 Jan;49(3):389-410.
- **29.** Mittal RC, Dahiya S. A study of quintic B-spline based differential quadrature method for a class of semi-linear Fisher-Kolmogorov equations. Alexandria Engineering Journal. 2016 Sep 1;55(3):2893-9.
- **30.** Başhan A, Karakoç SB, Geyikli T. Approximation of the KdVB equation by the quintic B-spline differential quadrature method.
- **31.** Prenter PM. Splines and variational methods. Courier Corporation; 2008.
- **32.** Shu C. Differential quadrature and its application in engineering. Springer Science & Business Media; 2012 Dec 6.